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## The Network Data

- Usually recorded by edges
- In-degree: number of nodes to a specific node
- Out-degree: number of nodes from a specific node
- Interested in tail behavior


## Data

- Webgraph from the Google programming contest (2002)
- Directed
- 875,713 nodes
- 5,105,039 edges


## Node Types

- "In": in-degree larger than 95\% quantile (of interest here)
- "Out": out-degree larger than 95\% quantile
- "Both": both in- and out-degree larger than 95\% quantile
- "None": Neither


## Distribution of node types in initial selection:

\#\#

| \#\# both | in none | out |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
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## Distribution of types in neighbors of initial selection:
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## Goals

- Aim to study tail behavior of the network
- Sample nodes with extreme characteristics efficiently
- Construct unbiased estimators with sampled nodes


## Strategies to Sample Target Nodes

- Single random walk: expensive, not representative with disjoint clusters
- Multiple random walks: able to explore multiple clusters
- Frontier Sampling (Ribeiro and Towsley, 2010)
- Uniform sampling of edges: use in-degree to adjust for bias


## Our Strategy

- Use knowledge of distribution of neighbors' types
- Importance sampling / change of measure
- Construct estimators with weight adjustments


## Our Strategy

- Step 0: randomly sample $K$ nodes from the network
- Step 1: select neighbors of the $K$ initial nodes
- Step 2: keep only the target (yellow) nodes
- Step 3: collect sample by following only paths of target (yellow) nodes


## Final Selection

- Coarsening nodes connected in both directions to equivalence classes
- Nodes in the same equivalence class have the same weight (actual and estimated)


## Final Selection



## Estimators with Weight Adjustments

- Construct unbiased estimators using weighted averages of sampled nodes
- $w_{i}=1 / P\left(n_{i} \in S\right)$
- $P\left(n_{i} \in S\right) \propto$ no. of nodes leading to $n_{i}$
- Number of nodes leading to $n_{i}$ cannot be completely observed
- Use observed values (proportional to the actual)


## Estimation Results: Distribution of In-degree

- Start from 20 nodes in our method
- 200 initial nodes for Multiple Random Walks (RW) and Frontier Sampling (FS)


## Distribution of In-degree
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## Q-Q Plots of Indegree
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## Estimation Results: Joint Distribution of In- and Out-Degrees

- Measured through $\arctan \left(\ln _{k} /\right.$ Out $\left._{k}\right)$
- Start from 200 initial nodes for all methods in comparison


## Histograms of Angles
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## Q-Q Plots of Angles
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## Discussion on Computational Efficiency

- Cost of our method: choose cut-off, weight calculations
- Parallel computing


## Camparison of Computing Time: Marginal Distribution of In-Degree

- Proposed method (20 initial nodes): 1-3s for sampling, 1-2s for weight estimation (parallel computing)
- Multiple Random Walks (200 initial nodes): 3-10s for sampling
- Frontier Sampling (200 initial nodes): >5min for sampling


## Camparison of Computing Time: Joint Distribution

- Proposed method (200 initial nodes): 1-3s for sampling, 1-3s for weight estimation (parallel computing)
- Multiple Random Walks (200 initial nodes): 3-10s for sampling
- Frontier Sampling (200 initial nodes): > 5min for sampling

